



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,017	02/14/2002	Ishay Ostfeld	OSTFELDI	5626
7590 04/05/2004 BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			EXAMINER	
			KONTOS, LINA R	
624 Ninth Stree Washington, De			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3763	8
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			5.4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/074,017	OSTFELD ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lina Kontos	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions o after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stati - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w Any reply received by the Office later than three months aft earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nicetion. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ill, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed 2a) This action is FINAL . 2l 3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice.	o)⊠ This action is non-final. or allowance except for formal ma	•	is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>22,23,32 and</u> 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-12,14-21,25-28,30,31 and</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>13,24 and 29</u> is/are objected 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ad 33 is/are withdrawn from considerated 34 is/are rejected.	eration.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objected to ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeya he correction is required if the drawin	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<u> </u>	ocuments have been received. locuments have been received in fithe priority documents have bee all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	O-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 3763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1.

Claims 1-3,8-11,18,34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Coulter.

Coulter teaches a catheter system with one embodiment teaching an interior cover (5) for a cannula (4) and another embodiment teaches an external cover (15) for a cannula (14). The cover is removed after the device has been inserted in the patient.

2.

Claims 1,2,4,8-10,12,15,16,31,34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chin.

Chin teaches a cover for a medical device such as a stent (column 4, line 45) wherein the cover has a row of perforations allowing for easy removal from the device after the system has been inserted into the patient. The perforations on the cover create a rough surface.

3.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Holman et al.

Art Unit: 3763

Page 3

Holman et al. teaches a cover for a stent that is helically wound over the device and may be used by itself or as an inner or outer sleeve. [0133] The helical cover is removed by unwrapping the strip. [0141]

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4.

Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coulter in view of another embodiment of Coulter.

Coulter teaches two separate embodiments, one having a cover on the interior of the medical device and the other a cover on the exterior of the device. In both embodiments, the cover is removed after the device is inserted in the body.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the use of both covers at the same time in order to keep all surfaces of the device sterile.

5.

Claims 17,30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coulter in view of Maloney et al.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/074,017

Art Unit: 3763

Coutler, as described above, teaches a cover for a medical device that is removed after the device is inserted into the patient, but fails to teach a blade that splits the cover in order to remove it from the surface of the device.

Maloney et al. teaches a slitter comprising a blade used to slit an introducer for removal from a catheter.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate this slitter for removal means of the protective cover for the catheter system instead of having to manufacture a cover with a preformed slit.

6.

Claims 14,20,21,25,26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coulter in view of Holman et al.

Coutler, as described above, teaches a cover for a medical device that is removed after the device is inserted into the patient, but fails to teach a ring at the distal end of the shaft.

Holman et al., as described above teaches a cover for a stent, and further teaches a ring (120) as the distal end of the shaft. [0113]

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to provide such a device in order to prevent material from entering between the cover and the surface. That the cover is impenetrable to water and microorganisms further protects the sterility of the device 7.

Claims 27,28 aew rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coulter in view of Wepsic.

Art Unit: 3763

Coutler, as described above, teaches a cover for a medical device that is removed after the device is inserted into the patient, but fails to teach the cover storing and releasing a substance,

Wepsic teaches an inner cover (11) for a catheter manufactured from rubber. The inner cover is impermeable (column 1, line 58) and contains an antibacterial substance (column 1, line 62) that is delivered to the body through the permeable outer layer of the catheter. The inner cover has grooves (13-18) that provide the surface with a rough quality.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have the cover store and release a substance in order to treat the area of the patient where the device is to be employed.

Response to Arguments

8.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18,21,24-27,30,31 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

9.

The requirement for restriction withdrawing claims 22 and 23 from consideration is maintained by Examiner as these claims pertain the method of manufacturing the cover. Claim 28 has been considered in the action.

Art Unit: 3763

Page 6

Conclusion

10.

Claims 13,24,29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lina Kontos whose telephone number is (703) 306-4207. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (703) 308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LRK

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700